

**REMARKS**

Foremost, Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of an interview on June 28, 2011. Applicants indicated that a blank Form PTO-892 was attached to the Official Action mailed June 7, 2011. The Examiner acknowledged that the only references relied upon were those cited in the Office Action of June 7, 2011 (and, more specially, those with full citations listed). Applicants were advised by the Examiner to disregard the blank Form PTO-892 attached to the Official Action.

Claims 61, 71, 72, and 91 have been amended; claims 88-90 and 92 have been canceled, claims 62, 70, 76, 77, 82, 84, and 85 are pending. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments. Support for the amendments to claims 61 and 91 is supported at page 4, lines 10-14 of the application as originally filed.

The Examiner has rejected claims 61, 62, 70-72, 76, 77, 82, 84, 85, and 91 under 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Applicants disagree that each of the aforementioned claims are indefinite. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended the claims, without prejudice, as set forth below.

The Examiner believes that the limitation "said skin" in claims 61 and 91, line 3 lack sufficient antecedent basis. The claims have been amended to provide antecedent basis for the term.

The Examiner believes that the metes and bounds of claims 61, 71, and 91 are vague due to the limitation "unsaponifiable materials from sunflower oil." Claims 61 and 91 have been amended

to specify that at least one of tocopherols or phytosterols is present in the unsaponifiable materials from sunflower oil.

The Examiner believes that the term "about" in claim 70 renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" has been deleted from claim 70.

Finally, claims 71 and 72 have been amended to recite that the claimed percentages are by total weight of the plant oil product.

As it is believed that all of the rejections set forth in the Official Action have been fully met, favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that he/she telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which he might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: September 7, 2011

Respectfully submitted,  
Electronic signature:  
/Thomas M. Finetti/  
Thomas M. Finetti  
Registration No.: 61,881  
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,  
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP  
600 South Avenue West  
Westfield, New Jersey 07090  
(908) 654-5000  
Attorney for Applicant